

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Sound and Vibration 290 (2006) 968-990

JOURNAL OF SOUND AND VIBRATION

www.elsevier.com/locate/jsvi

Moving least square Ritz method for vibration analysis of plates

L. Zhou*, W.X. Zheng

School of Quantitative Methods and Mathematical Sciences, University of Western Sydney, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith South DC, NSW 1797, Australia

> Received 16 August 2004; received in revised form 11 April 2005; accepted 2 May 2005 Available online 25 July 2005

Abstract

This paper presents a novel numerical method, the moving least square Ritz method (MLS-Ritz), for free vibration analysis of classical thin plates. The proposed method utilizes the strength of the moving least square approach to define the Ritz trial function for the transverse displacement of the plates. A set of points is pre-selected on the calculation domain of a plate that forms the basis for the MLS-Ritz trial function. The edge support conditions of the plate are satisfied by forcing the boundary points to meet the geometric boundary conditions of the plate via a point substitution technique. Virtual points (points outside the plate domain) are introduced for clamped edges to improve the convergence and accuracy of the calculations. Square and right-angled isosceles triangular plates of various combinations of edge support radius, the number of Gaussian integration points and the shape of the MLS weight function on the proposed method. Comparing with the existing Ritz methods, the MLS-Ritz method is highly stable and accurate and is extremely flexible for dealing with plates of arbitrary shapes and boundary conditions. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 247360 456; fax: +61 247360 867. *E-mail address:* l.zhou@uws.edu.au (L. Zhou).

⁰⁰²²⁻⁴⁶⁰X/ $\$ - see front matter $\$ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2005.05.004

1. Introduction

Plate structures are one of the most important types of structures used in civil, mechanical, marine and aerospace engineering. Vibration of plates has been studied extensively since 1787 [1–6] due to its importance in the design of plate structures and many of the important studies in this field were documented in Leissa's monograph [2] and a series of reviews [7–12].

Various analytical and numerical methods have been developed to investigate the vibration behaviour of plates, ranging from the superposition method [13–15], Levy approach [6,16,17], point collocation method [18], finite difference method [19], differential quadrature (DO) method [20], Ritz method [5], meshless method [21] to the finite strip method and the finite element (FE) method [22,23]. Although analytical methods are important to give an insightful understanding of the vibration behaviour and to provide benchmark frequencies of plates, numerical methods are preferred in the vibration analysis of plates due to the fact that most of the plate vibration problems do not admit analytical solutions. While the FE method is still the dominant numerical method in this field, many alternative methods such as the finite strip method, Ritz method and DQ method are developed to improve the efficiency and accuracy of vibration analysis of plates. Cheung [22] proposed the finite strip method for plate analysis which is proven to be highly efficient to deal with plates of regular shapes. The p-Ritz method developed by Liew and his associates [5] in the past decade has made a significant impact on the vibration analysis of plates and shells. Their approach was able to enforce the geometric boundary conditions of plates automatically and to deal with plates of various shapes and different internal line supports. The DQ method proposed by Bellman et al. [24] starts to make its impact in the area of plate analysis and a large number of publications can be found in the open literature [20,25]. Recently, the discrete singular convolution (DSC) method developed by Wei and his associates [26] showed great potential in the analysis of plates, especially in the high frequency analysis of plates [27].

The moving least square (MLS) technique was originally used for data fitting [21]. In recent years, researchers have applied the MLS technique in the analysis of solid mechanics problems by developing the meshless method or element free Garlerkin method [21]. The MLS technique is employed to establish the shape functions in the numerical analysis process. The MLS technique was also applied in conjunction with the DQ approach to analyse the bending and buckling of plates [28] and electromagnetic field problems [29].

This paper presents a new numerical method, called the MLS-Ritz method, for the vibration analysis of plates. The classical thin plate (Kirchhoff plate) theory is employed in this study. The MLS data interpolation technique is utilized to establish the Ritz trial function for the transverse displacement of a plate. The geometric boundary conditions of the plate are enforced through a point substitution technique. Extensive convergence and comparison studies are carried out for square and right-angled isosceles plates of various support conditions to verify the correctness and accuracy of the proposed numerical method.

2. Mathematical modelling

Fig. 1 shows a rectangular plate of length a, width b and uniform thickness h in a Cartesian coordinate system. The plate is of the modulus of elasticity E, the Possion ratio v and the mass



Fig. 1. Dimensions and coordinate system for a rectangular plate.

density ρ . The Lagrangian of the plate based on the classical plate theory in harmonic vibration can be expressed as [2]

$$F = \frac{D}{2} \int_{A} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} \right)^2 - 2(1-v) \left[\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \mathrm{d}A - \frac{1}{2} \rho h \omega^2 \int_{A} w^2 \, \mathrm{d}A, \quad (1)$$

where w(x, y) is the transverse displacement at the midsurface the plate, $D = Eh^3/[12(1-v^2)]$ is the flexural rigidity of the plate, A is the area of the plate and ω is the circular frequency of the vibration which needs to be determined.

The Ritz method is employed in this study. The Ritz trial function is first established through the MLS technique [21]. A number of pre-determined points are selected on the calculation domain of the plate (see Fig. 1). The distribution of the points can be regular or irregular, depending on the requirement of the problem at hand. For convenience and simplicity, uniformly distributed grid points are used in this study.

The transverse displacement at an arbitrary point (x, y) (see Fig. 1) on the plate domain can be approximately evaluated as

$$w^{h}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(x,y)a_{i} = \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}(x,y)\mathbf{a},$$
 (2)

in which $w^h(x, y)$ is the approximate value of w(x, y), *m* is the number of basis functions that form a complete space, $\mathbf{p}(x, y) = [p_1(x, y) \ p_2(x, y) \ \cdots \ p_m(x, y)]^T$ is a finite set of basis functions of a complete space, and $\mathbf{a} = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \cdots \ a_m]^T$ is the unknown coefficients, respectively.

Applying the MLS technique, we can determine the unknown coefficients \mathbf{a} by minimizing the following weighted quadratic form

$$\Pi(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(r)(w^h(x_i, y_i) - w_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(r)(\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}(x_i, y_i)\mathbf{a} - w_i)^2,$$
(3)

where (x_i, y_i) , i = 1, 2, ..., n, are the *n* grid points in the neighbourhood of the point (x, y), w_i is the nominal displacement at point (x_i, y_i) , and $g_i(r)$ is the weight function used in the MLS fitting which can take different forms as shown in Ref. [21]. We propose the following weight function to be used in this study

$$g_i(r) = \begin{cases} (1 - r^2)^k & \text{if } r \le d, \\ 0 & \text{if } r > d, \end{cases}$$
(4)

in which $r = \sqrt{(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2}/d$ is the normalized distance between the point (x, y) and the *i*th grid point (x_i, y_i) , *d* is the radius of support (see Fig. 1) and *k* is an integer which can be adjusted to optimize the MLS fitting.

Minimizing Eq. (3) with respect to the unknown coefficients \mathbf{a} , we can obtain the unknown coefficients as follows:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{w},\tag{5}$$

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(r) \mathbf{p}(x_i, y_i) \mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{T}}(x_i, y_i),$$
(6)

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(r)\mathbf{p}(x_1, y_1) & g_2(r)\mathbf{p}(x_2, y_2) & \cdots & g_n(r)\mathbf{p}(x_n, y_n) \end{bmatrix},\tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{w} = [w_1 \ w_2 \ \cdots \ w_n]^{\mathrm{T}}.$$
(8)

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (2), the Ritz trial function for the transverse displacement w(x, y) can be approximated in terms of the nominal displacement values of the grid points within the radius of support d as

$$w(x,y) = w^{h}(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}(x,y)w_{i} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{T}\mathbf{R}^{T},$$
(9)

$$\mathbf{R} = [R_1(x, y) \ R_2(x, y) \ \cdots \ R_i(x, y) \ \cdots \ R_n(x, y)],$$
(10)

$$R_i(x, y) = \mathbf{p}^T(x, y) \mathbf{A}^{-1} g_i(r) \mathbf{p}(x_i, y_i).$$
(11)

We may also express the Ritz trial function in terms of the nominal displacement values of all grid points in the calculation domain as

$$w(x, y) = w^{h}(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} R_{i}(x, y)w_{i} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(12)

where N is the total number of grid points in the calculation domain. $R_i(x, y)$ may be evaluated using Eq. (11) if the *i*th grid point (x_i, y_i) is within the radius of support d of point (x, y), or $R_i(x, y) = 0$ otherwise. The first and second derivatives of the Ritz trial function [Eq. (12)] with respect to x and y can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial w(x,y)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial w^h(x,y)}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial R_i(x,y)}{\partial x} w_i = \mathbf{R}_x \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_x^{\mathsf{T}},$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial w(x,y)}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial w^h(x,y)}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial R_i(x,y)}{\partial y} w_i = \mathbf{R}_y \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_y^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(14)

$$\frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 w^h(x,y)}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 R_i(x,y)}{\partial x^2} w_i = \mathbf{R}_{xx} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{\mathsf{T}},$$
(15)

$$\frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 w^h(x,y)}{\partial y^2} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 R_i(x,y)}{\partial y^2} w_i = \mathbf{R}_{yy} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_{yy}^{\mathrm{T}},$$
(16)

$$\frac{\partial^2 w(x,y)}{\partial xy} = \frac{\partial^2 w^h(x,y)}{\partial xy} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 R_i(x,y)}{\partial xy} w_i = \mathbf{R}_{xy} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_{xy}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$
(17)

Substituting Eqs. (12)–(17) into Eq. (1), the total potential energy functional can be expressed as follows

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(\mathbf{K} - \omega^{2} \mathbf{M} \right) \mathbf{w}, \tag{18}$$

where the stiffness matrix **K** and the mass matrix **M** have the dimension of $N \times N$ and are given respectively by

$$\mathbf{K} = D \int_{A} \left[\mathbf{R}_{xx}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_{xx} + \mathbf{R}_{yy}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_{yy} + v \mathbf{R}_{xx}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_{yy} + v \mathbf{R}_{yy}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R}_{xx} + 2(1-v) \mathbf{R}_{xy}^{T} \mathbf{R}_{xy} \right] \mathrm{d}A,$$
(19)

$$\mathbf{M} = \rho h \int_{A} \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{R} \, \mathrm{d}A. \tag{20}$$

A point substitution approach is proposed in this paper in conjunction with the MLS interpolation scheme to impose the geometric boundary conditions of the plate. Fig. 2 shows a typical rectangular plate with free, simply supported and clamped edges. A set of pre-selected uniform grid points are assigned on the calculation domain. Grid points are located on the plate boundaries, inner domain as well as outer domain (virtual points) for clamped edges. Note that the number of grid points on the outer domain is equal to the number of grid points on the clamped edges as shown in Fig. 2.

We can group the nominal displacements on the grid points of the plate into two categories w_B and w_I , i.e.

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_B \\ \mathbf{w}_I \end{bmatrix},\tag{21}$$



Fig. 2. MLS grid point arrangement for a rectangular plate with free, simply supported and clamped edges.

where \mathbf{w}_B contains all nominal displacements of the points on the simply supported and clamped edges and on the outer domain, and \mathbf{w}_I contains all nominal displacements of the points on the free edge/s and the inner domain, respectively. In view of Eqs. (12)–(14), the geometric boundary condition for a grid point (x_i, y_i) on a simply supported edge is given by

$$w(x_j, y_j) = w^h(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^N R_i(x_j, y_j) w_i = 0.$$
 (22)

And if the point is on a clamped edge, the geometric boundary conditions are

$$w(x_j, y_j) = w^h(x_j, y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^N R_i(x_j, y_j) w_i = 0,$$
(23)

$$\frac{\partial w(x_j, y_j)}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial w^h(x_j, y_j)}{\partial s} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial R_i(x_j, y_j)}{\partial s} w_i = 0,$$
(24)

where *s* denotes the normal direction to the clamped edge/s. Applying boundary conditions for all grid points on the simply supported and clamped edges, we can obtain a linear equation system given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_B \\ \mathbf{w}_I \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (25)

The nominal displacements of the grid points on the simply supported and clamped edges and on the outer domain can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{w}_B = -\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{w}_I. \tag{26}$$

The nominal displacements for all grid points can then be expressed in terms of w_I as follows:

$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_B \\ \mathbf{w}_I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_I = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{w}_I.$$
(27)

Note that the geometric boundary conditions of the plate are effectively enforced by applying the above point substitution approach.

Substituting Eq. (27) into Eq. (18), the total potential energy functional can be expressed as

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{w}_I^{\mathrm{T}} (\overline{\mathbf{K}} - \omega^2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}) \mathbf{w}_I, \qquad (28)$$

where

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} = \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{T},\tag{29}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{T}.$$
(30)

Minimizing the Lagrangian (Eq. (1)) with respect to \mathbf{w}_I , we have

$$(\overline{\mathbf{K}} - \omega^2 \overline{\mathbf{M}}) \mathbf{w}_I = \mathbf{0}.$$
(31)

The vibration frequency ω can be determined by solving the generalized eigenvalue equation defined by Eq. (31).

3. Results and discussions

The proposed MLS-Ritz method is examined in this section for its validity and accuracy. The natural frequencies of several selected square and right-angled isosceles triangular plates are obtained. The Poisson ratio v is set to be 0.3 and the non-dimensional frequency parameter is defined as $\lambda = (\omega a^2/\pi^2)\sqrt{\rho h/D}$, where *a* is the length of the plates.

Convergence studies must be carried out to verify the validity of the MLS-Ritz method. There are several parameters that can vary in the proposed method. Firstly, the basis function used in Eq. (2) can be any finite basis function of a complete space. We propose to use the 2-D complete polynomial as the basis function in this study. If the degree P of the polynomial is zero, $\mathbf{p}(x, y) = 1$. If P = 2, $\mathbf{p}(x, y) = [1 \ x \ y \ x^2 \ xy \ y^2]^T$. Integration needs to be carried out for Eqs. (19) and (20) over the plate domain. The influence of the integer number k in the weight function (Eq. (4)) on the accuracy of the method will be examined. Finally, the number of MLS grid points and the effective range of the radius of support d need to be evaluated. The accuracy of the MLS-Ritz method is verified against the known benchmark results.

3.1. Square plates

For a square plate, the plate domain is divided into four equal segments (see Fig. 3) and the Gaussian quadrature is employed to evaluate Eqs. (19) and (20). The number of sufficient Gaussian points will be determined for carrying out the integration.



Fig. 3. Four equal segments used in Gaussian quadrature.

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of *P* for a simply supported square plate with k = 10, d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points

| Р | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 0 | 2.0001 | 5.0002 | 5.0002 | 8.0002 | 10.0057 | 10.0059 | | | |
| 1 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0001 | 10.0007 | 10.0007 | | | |
| 2 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | | |
| 3 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0001 | 10.0001 | | | |
| 4 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0000 | 10.0000 | | | |
| 5 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0000 | 10.0000 | | | |
| 6 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 9.9999 | 9.9999 | | | |

A simply supported square plate is first considered in the convergence study. To study the influence of the degree P of the 2-D complete polynomial basis function $\mathbf{p}(x, y)$, the number of Gaussian points in each segment is set to be 20×20 , the value of k in the weight function is fixed at 10, a set of 15×15 MLS grid points is used and the radius of support d is equal to 0.5a, where a is the length of the square plate. Table 1 shows the variation of the first six frequency parameters for the simply supported square plate as the degree P of the 2-D polynomial changes from 0 to 6. We observe that the frequency parameters in general decrease as the degree P of the polynomial basis function increases. Good convergence is achieved even with P = 2. The frequency parameters of the 5th and 6th modes with P = 6 are 9.9999 which is slightly lower than the exact value of 10. It may be caused by numerical roundoff error in the calculation. We also noted that the computational time of the MLS-Ritz method is increased rapidly when the degree P of the 2-D polynomial basis function increases. This is because the number of terms used in the approximation of w(x, y) in Eq. (2) increases significantly as P increases.

Table 2 shows the same convergence study for a clamped square plate. It is observed that for the first four vibration modes, the frequency parameters converge well as the degree of the 2-D polynomial basis function P = 1. Further increase in the value of P, we observe that the frequency parameters for the 4th–6th modes oscillate slightly. In general, P = 2 is sufficient to provide converged results.

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of P for a clamped square plate with k = 10, d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points

| Р | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 0 | 3.6461 | 7.4377 | 7.4377 | 10.9704 | 13.3322 | 13.3964 | | | |
| 1 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9648 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | | |
| 2 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | | |
| 3 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9646 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | | |
| 4 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9648 | 13.3320 | 13.3953 | | | |
| 5 | 3.6461 | 7.4363 | 7.4363 | 10.9645 | 13.3319 | 13.3950 | | | |
| 6 | 3.6461 | 7.4363 | 7.4363 | 10.9646 | 13.3319 | 13.3950 | | | |

Table 3

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the MLS grid point size for a simply supported square plate with P = 2, k = 10, d = 0.5a and 20×20 Gaussian points

| MLS grid points | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 5 × 5 | 6061 | 53051 | 55369 | 72450 | 226535 | 3715952 | | | |
| 7×7 | 2.0210 | 5.2818 | 5.2818 | 8.2356 | 11.0872 | 11.0916 | | | |
| 9 × 9 | 2.0019 | 5.0357 | 5.0357 | 8.0330 | 10.2036 | 10.2039 | | | |
| 11 × 11 | 2.0000 | 5.0012 | 5.0012 | 8.0022 | 10.0041 | 10.0044 | | | |
| 13×13 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0005 | 10.0006 | | | |
| 15 × 15 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | | |
| 17×17 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0002 | 10.0002 | | | |
| 19 × 19 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0000 | 10.0000 | | | |

Tables 3 and 4 examine the variation of the frequency parameters of a simply supported square plate and a clamped square plate with respect to the MLS grid point size. While the MLS grid point size varies from 5×5 to 19×19 , the values of P = 2, k = 10 and d = 0.5a and 20×20 Gaussian points are employed in the calculation. The frequency parameters for both simply supported and clamped square plates decrease monotonically as the MLS grid point size increases. Excellent convergence is achieved for all cases in Tables 3 and 4 when the MLS grid point size reaches 15×15 and more.

The number of Gaussian points required for generating accurate results by the MLS-Ritz method is examined. Tables 5 and 6 show the convergence pattern of the frequency parameters for a simply supported square plate and a clamped square plate against the Gaussian points used in each of the four segments in the plate domain, respectively. The values of k = 10, d = 0.5a and P = 2 and 15×15 MLS grid points are used in the computation. We observe that the number of Gaussian points affects the frequency parameters significantly. When the number of Gaussian points is small ($\leq 5 \times 5$), the frequency parameters are erroneous due to the poor accuracy of the

| Convergence of frequency para | imeters λ against the ML | S grid point size for a | a clamped square plate | with $P = 2, k = 10$, |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| $d = 0.5a$ and 20×20 Gaussian | i points | | | |

| MLS grid points | Mode sequence | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| $\overline{5 \times 5}$ | 8.4900 | 20.2614 | 20.2631 | 28.5606 | 39.0445 | 40.8206 | | |
| 7×7 | 2.4228 | 5.8519 | 5.8519 | 8.8486 | 12.0883 | 12.0965 | | |
| 9×9 | 3.6521 | 7.4610 | 7.4610 | 11.0214 | 13.4037 | 13.4589 | | |
| 11 × 11 | 3.6472 | 7.4394 | 7.4442 | 10.9827 | 12.3181 | 13.3415 | | |
| 13×13 | 3.6461 | 7.4366 | 7.4366 | 10.9651 | 13.3331 | 13.3963 | | |
| 15×15 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |
| 17×17 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3320 | 13.3953 | | |
| 19 × 19 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | |

Table 5

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the number of Gaussian points for a simply supported square plate with k = 10, d = 0.5a, P = 2 and 15×15 MLS grid points

| Gaussian points | Mode sequence | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| 3 × 3 | 2.29E-06 | 2.82E-06 | 5.42E-06 | 6.14E-06 | 1.82E-05 | 5.54E-05 | | |
| 4×4 | 0.4928 | 0.4928 | 2.2243 | 2.5920 | 4.1820 | 4.3016 | | |
| 5×5 | 1.4577 | 4.1372 | 4.1372 | 5.5687 | 6.0195 | 7.8331 | | |
| 6×6 | 1.9294 | 4.9583 | 4.9583 | 7.9836 | 8.1130 | 8.5060 | | |
| 7×7 | 1.9997 | 4.9983 | 4.9983 | 7.9978 | 10.0104 | 10.0106 | | |
| 8×8 | 2.0000 | 5.0008 | 5.0008 | 8.0009 | 9.9948 | 9.9949 | | |
| 9 × 9 | 2.0000 | 4.9996 | 4.9996 | 7.9996 | 10.0012 | 10.0012 | | |
| 10×10 | 2.0000 | 5.0003 | 5.0003 | 8.0003 | 10.0005 | 10.0005 | | |
| 11 × 11 | 2.0000 | 4.9999 | 4.9999 | 7.9999 | 10.0000 | 10.0000 | | |
| 12×12 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0001 | 10.0004 | 10.0004 | | |
| 13 × 13 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0002 | 10.0002 | | |
| 14×14 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | |
| 15×15 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0002 | 10.0003 | | |
| 16×16 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | |
| 17×17 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0002 | 10.0003 | | |
| 18×18 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | |
| 19 × 19 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | |
| 20×20 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | |

Gaussian integration. The frequency parameters oscillate around the converged values as the number of Gaussian points increases from 6×6 to 13×13 for the simply supported square plate and to 14×14 for the clamped square plate, respectively. The frequency parameters are stabilized when the number of Gaussian points increases further. We observe that 20×20 Gaussian points for each integration segment are sufficient to provide accurate integration results used in the

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the number of Gaussian points for a clamped square plate with k = 10, d = 0.5a, P = 2 and 15×15 MLS grid points

| Gaussian points | Mode sequence | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| 3 × 3 | 1.15E-05 | 3.32E-05 | 6.05E-05 | 1.06E-04 | 1.60E-04 | 6.90E-04 | | |
| 4×4 | 3.57E-04 | 0.9962 | 1.5027 | 1.8746 | 1.8746 | 3.6918 | | |
| 5×5 | 2.4289 | 4.0241 | 4.0241 | 4.9972 | 5.4150 | 8.5491 | | |
| 6×6 | 3.4082 | 6.3377 | 6.3377 | 7.5575 | 8.2131 | 10.4485 | | |
| 7×7 | 3.6368 | 10.8536 | 12.8746 | 12.8984 | 16.5637 | 16.5637 | | |
| 8×8 | 3.6453 | 7.4312 | 7.4312 | 10.9609 | 13.1737 | 13.2361 | | |
| 9 × 9 | 3.6462 | 7.4374 | 7.4374 | 10.9656 | 13.3282 | 13.3917 | | |
| 10×10 | 3.6460 | 7.4360 | 7.4360 | 10.9645 | 13.3334 | 13.3965 | | |
| 11 × 11 | 3.6461 | 7.4365 | 7.4365 | 10.9647 | 13.3316 | 13.3949 | | |
| 12×12 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9648 | 13.3327 | 13.3959 | | |
| 13×13 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3320 | 13.3953 | | |
| 14×14 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3324 | 13.3957 | | |
| 15×15 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3322 | 13.3955 | | |
| 16×16 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |
| 17×17 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |
| 18×18 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |
| 19 × 19 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |
| 20×20 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |



Fig. 4. Influence of the value of k on the MLS weight function.

MLS-Ritz method for square plates. Obviously, increasing the number of Gaussian points will increase the computational time in applying the MLS-Ritz method.

The effect of the values of the integer k on the weight function is depicted in Fig. 4. It is evident that as the value of k increases, the weighted influence in the MLS technique is more concentrated around the region near the fitting point (x, y). The influence of k on the accuracy of the proposed MLS-Ritz method is examined next.

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of k for a simply supported square plate with P = 2, d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points

| k | Mode seque | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | | |
| 1 | 1.9651 | 3.6612 | 3.6612 | 6.2601 | 8.7269 | 9.2295 | | | | |
| 2 | 2.0007 | 5.0823 | 5.0823 | 8.0110 | 10.5244 | 10.5500 | | | | |
| 3 | 2.0000 | 5.0190 | 5.0190 | 8.0259 | 10.0840 | 10.0863 | | | | |
| 4 | 2.0000 | 5.0024 | 5.0024 | 8.0081 | 10.0360 | 10.0390 | | | | |
| 5 | 2.0001 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0004 | 10.0115 | 10.0149 | | | | |
| 6 | 2.0000 | 5.0006 | 5.0006 | 8.0010 | 10.0016 | 10.0019 | | | | |
| 7 | 2.0000 | 5.0002 | 5.0002 | 8.0003 | 10.0039 | 10.0039 | | | | |
| 8 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0001 | 10.0022 | 10.0024 | | | | |
| 9 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0001 | 10.0006 | 10.0006 | | | | |
| 10 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | | | |
| 11 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0005 | 10.0005 | | | | |
| 12 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0002 | 10.0002 | | | | |
| 13 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0000 | 10.0001 | | | | |
| 14 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0001 | 10.0001 | | | | |
| 15 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0001 | 10.0001 | | | | |

Table 8

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of k for a clamped square plate with P = 2, d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points

| k | Mode seque | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|---------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | | |
| 1 | 2.2356 | 5.0639 | 5.0709 | 11.2470 | 12.4406 | 13.3426 | | | | |
| 2 | 3.6642 | 7.6104 | 7.6104 | 11.6907 | 13.9390 | 14.5062 | | | | |
| 3 | 3.6537 | 7.4710 | 7.4710 | 11.0069 | 13.3785 | 13.5265 | | | | |
| 4 | 3.6473 | 7.4399 | 7.4399 | 10.9701 | 13.3673 | 13.4210 | | | | |
| 5 | 3.6460 | 7.4366 | 7.4366 | 10.9658 | 13.3323 | 13.3974 | | | | |
| 6 | 3.6461 | 7.4366 | 7.4366 | 10.9656 | 13.3326 | 13.3958 | | | | |
| 7 | 3.6461 | 7.4367 | 7.4367 | 10.9650 | 13.3326 | 13.3956 | | | | |
| 8 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9649 | 13.3325 | 13.3960 | | | | |
| 9 | 3.6461 | 7.4366 | 7.4366 | 10.9649 | 13.3321 | 13.3952 | | | | |
| 10 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | | | |
| 11 | 3.6461 | 7.4365 | 7.4365 | 10.9647 | 13.3321 | 13.3954 | | | | |
| 12 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3321 | 13.3953 | | | | |
| 13 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3322 | 13.3954 | | | | |
| 14 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | | | |
| 15 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | | | |

Tables 7 and 8 present the variation of frequency parameters of a simply supported square plate and a clamped square plate against various values of k in the weight function, respectively. The values of P = 2 and d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points are used in

| d/a | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | | |
| 0.10 | 46.7975 | 52.6090 | 57.7458 | 61.4896 | 66.4244 | 66.8645 | | | | |
| 0.15 | 2.0361 | 5.3068 | 5.3922 | 8.8112 | 10.0185 | 10.3138 | | | | |
| 0.20 | 2.0062 | 5.2359 | 5.2359 | 8.2434 | 11.0888 | 11.0921 | | | | |
| 0.25 | 2.0008 | 5.0144 | 5.0144 | 8.0113 | 10.0787 | 10.0787 | | | | |
| 0.30 | 2.0002 | 5.0040 | 5.0040 | 8.0039 | 10.0254 | 10.0254 | | | | |
| 0.35 | 2.0000 | 5.0003 | 5.0003 | 8.0006 | 10.0020 | 10.0020 | | | | |
| 0.40 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | | | |
| 0.45 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | | | |
| 0.50 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | | | |
| 0.55 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0009 | 10.0009 | | | | |
| 0.60 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0012 | 10.0012 | | | | |
| 0.65 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0001 | 10.0002 | 10.0002 | | | | |
| 0.70 | 2.0000 | 5.0000 | 5.0000 | 8.0000 | 10.0008 | 10.0009 | | | | |
| 0.75 | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0024 | 10.0026 | | | | |
| 0.80 | 2.0000 | 5.0002 | 5.0002 | 8.0003 | 10.0028 | 10.0028 | | | | |

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the radius of support *d* for a simply supported square plate with P = 2, k = 10, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points

Table 10

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the radius of support *d* for a clamped square plate with P = 2, k = 10, 20 × 20 Gaussian points and 15 × 15 MLS grid points

| d/a | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 0.10 | 66.6556 | 69.0685 | 72.2822 | 74.5936 | 76.1212 | 76.7361 | | | |
| 0.15 | 3.3912 | 7.7505 | 7.7505 | 11.9050 | 13.6467 | 13.7143 | | | |
| 0.20 | 3.8333 | 8.3798 | 8.3798 | 12.1331 | 16.5325 | 16.6119 | | | |
| 0.25 | 3.6476 | 7.4403 | 7.4403 | 10.9734 | 13.3398 | 13.4029 | | | |
| 0.30 | 3.6470 | 7.4398 | 7.4398 | 10.9736 | 13.3423 | 13.4048 | | | |
| 0.35 | 3.6462 | 7.4372 | 7.4372 | 10.9675 | 13.3338 | 13.3969 | | | |
| 0.40 | 3.6461 | 7.4366 | 7.4366 | 10.9650 | 13.3327 | 13.3959 | | | |
| 0.45 | 3.6461 | 7.4365 | 7.4365 | 10.9648 | 13.3323 | 13.3956 | | | |
| 0.50 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | | |
| 0.55 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9648 | 13.3320 | 13.3954 | | | |
| 0.60 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9648 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | | |
| 0.65 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9648 | 13.3322 | 13.3955 | | | |
| 0.70 | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3320 | 13.3952 | | | |
| 0.75 | 3.6461 | 10.9649 | 13.3319 | 13.3951 | 21.3356 | 21.3356 | | | |
| 0.80 | 3.6461 | 10.9646 | 13.3322 | 13.3953 | 21.3310 | 21.3310 | | | |

this calculation. We observe that when k = 1, the frequency parameters are poorly converged. Further increasing the values of k, frequency parameters oscillate about the converged values for both the simply support and the clamped square plates, respectively. In general, the MLS-Ritz

Comparison study of frequency parameters λ for square plates with P = 2, k = 10, d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points

| Cases | Sources | Mode sequence | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| SSSS | Present | 2.0000 | 5.0001 | 5.0001 | 8.0000 | 10.0003 | 10.0003 | | |
| | Ref. [3] | 2.00000 | 5.00000 | 5.00000 | 8.00000 | 10.0000 | 10.0000 | | |
| CCCC | Present | 3.6461 | 7.4364 | 7.4364 | 10.9647 | 13.3323 | 13.3955 | | |
| | Ref. [3] | 3.6468 | 7.4383 | 7.4383 | 10.970 | 13.338 | 13.399 | | |
| SCSC | Present | 2.9333 | 5.5466 | 7.0243 | 9.5835 | 10.3568 | 13.0804 | | |
| | Ref. [3] | 2.93334 | 5.54664 | 7.02429 | 9.58349 | 10.35667 | 13.08011 | | |
| SFSF | Present | 0.9759 | 1.6348 | 3.7211 | 3.9460 | 4.7356 | 7.1675 | | |
| | Ref. [3] | 0.97586 | 1.63480 | 3.72108 | 3.94595 | 4.73560 | 7.16747 | | |
| SSFS | Present | 1.1839 | 2.8123 | 4.1741 | 5.9846 | 6.2679 | 9.1488 | | |
| | Ref. [3] | 1.18389 | 2.81230 | 4.17410 | 5.98459 | 6.26779 | 9.14871 | | |

method can generate accurate frequency parameters when $k \ge 10$ and the increase of the k values has a negligible impact on the computational efficiency of the MLS-Ritz method.

The radius of support d of the MLS scheme also plays an important role in obtaining accurate MLS-Ritz results. Tables 9 and 10 show the influence of the radius of support d on the frequency parameters of a simply supported square plate and a clamped square plate, respectively. We use P = 2, k = 10, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points in this calculation. While a small radius of support d gives erroneous frequency parameters, a very large d value may lead to missing modes as shown in the case for clamped square plate. The optimal range of the radius of support d will increase the computational time of the MLS-Ritz method as more neighbourhood grid points are involved in the MLS fitting process.

The accuracy of the MLS-Ritz method can be verified against available benchmark solutions [3] in the open literature. Table 11 presents the frequency parameters for square plates of various boundary conditions obtained by applying the MLS-Ritz method and by Leissa [3]. A four-letter symbol is used to denote the boundary conditions of a square plate. For example, an SFCS plate has a simply supported left edge, a free bottom edge, a clamped right edge and a simply supported top edge, respectively. The MLS-Ritz results are based on P = 2, k = 10, d = 0.5a, 20×20 Gaussian points and 15×15 MLS grid points on the boundaries and inner domain of the plate, respectively. We observe that the MLS-Ritz results are in excellent agreement with the exact solutions for SSSS, SCSC, SFSF and SSFS plates in Ref. [3] and the approximate results for the CCCC plate [3]. The comparison study confirms the high accuracy that the MLS-Ritz method can achieve.

3.2. Right-angled isosceles triangular plates

The validity and accuracy of the MLS-Ritz method is further examined through the vibration analysis of several selected right-angled isosceles triangular plates. The MLS grid points are



Fig. 5. Distribution of a 5×5 MLS grid points in the analysis.

| Table 1 | Table 12 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Variatio | ariation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of P for a simply supported right-angled isosceles triangular plate | | | | | | | | | |
| with k | = 15, d = 0.5a, d = 0.5a | $N_g = 40$ and 21×2 | 21 MLS grid points | | | | | | | |
| Р | Mode seq | uence | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 6 | | | | |

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0 | 5.0001 | 10.0009 | 13.0006 | 17.0015 | 20.0039 | 25.0032 |
| 1 | 5.0000 | 10.0002 | 13.0003 | 17.0011 | 20.0015 | 25.0020 |
| 2 | 5.0000 | 10.0001 | 13.0001 | 17.0007 | 20.0009 | 25.0010 |
| 3 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0002 | 17.0002 | 20.0004 | 25.0009 |
| 4 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0000 | 17.0001 | 20.0003 | 25.0004 |
| 5 | 5.0000 | 10.0013 | 13.0013 | 17.0069 | 20.0089 | 25.0031 |
| 6 | 9.8935 | 10.6089 | 11.6528 | 14.4665 | 20.5306 | 26.2683 |

uniformly distributed over the triangular plate domain. For example, Fig. 5 shows the distribution of a 5×5 MLS grid points for a CSF plate where C denotes the clamped left edge, S the simply supported bottom edge and F the free inclining edge, respectively. The notation 5×5 denotes that there are five MLS grid points on the left edge and five on the bottom edge of the plate, respectively. Note that virtual points (points outside the plate domain) are used to enforce the clamped boundary conditions on the left edge of the plate.

The Gaussian quadrature is carried out on the triangular plate domain with the following scheme to determine the number of Gaussian points used in the integration. First, we select the largest dimension in the x direction on the plate domain (in this case, the length of the bottom edge of the plate) and determine the number of Gaussian points N_g to be used. Then, we draw vertical lines through the x coordinates of the N_q Gaussian points. The number of

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of P for a clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate with k = 10, d = 0.5a, $N_a = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points

| Р | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 0 | 9.5028 | 15.9869 | 19.7336 | 24.5995 | 28.1337 | 34.0195 | | | |
| 1 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6002 | 28.1339 | 34.0199 | | | |
| 2 | 9.5028 | 15.9870 | 19.7338 | 24.6000 | 28.1335 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 3 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 4 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6003 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 5 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 6 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7337 | 24.6003 | 28.1339 | 34.0196 | | | |

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the MLS grid point size for a simply supported right-angled isosceles triangular plate with P = 3, k = 15, d = 0.5a and $N_g = 40$

| MLS grid points | Mode sequence | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| 7 × 7 | 373.588 | 621.292 | 1609.54 | 2563.54 | 3180.45 | 10716.08 | | |
| 9 × 9 | 5.4746 | 11.4446 | 13.9286 | 20.2321 | 22.8061 | 26.6804 | | |
| 11 × 11 | 5.0019 | 10.0306 | 13.0224 | 17.2357 | 20.2247 | 25.1559 | | |
| 13 × 13 | 5.0002 | 10.0015 | 13.0014 | 17.0090 | 20.0138 | 25.0094 | | |
| 15×15 | 5.0000 | 10.0002 | 13.0002 | 17.0008 | 20.0016 | 25.0009 | | |
| 17×17 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0002 | 17.0002 | 20.0004 | 25.0009 | | |
| 19 × 19 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0000 | 17.0001 | 20.0001 | 25.0002 | | |
| 21×21 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0002 | 17.0002 | 20.0004 | 25.0009 | | |
| 23 × 23 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0000 | 17.0000 | 20.0000 | 25.0000 | | |

Gaussian points used on a vertical line is determined by the ratio between the length of the vertical line in the triangular plate domain and the largest x dimension of the triangular plate. We found that when $N_g = 40$, the Gaussian quadrature provides accurate integration results used in the MLS-Ritz method for the vibration analysis of right-angled isosceles triangular plates.

Tables 12 and 13 show the influence of the degree of the 2-D polynomial basis function P on the frequency parameters of a simply supported triangular plate and a clamped triangular plate, respectively. The values of k = 15, d = 0.5a, $N_g = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points are used in the calculation. It is observed that for the clamped plate the increase of the value of P shows no significant impact on the frequency parameters. However, for the simply supported plate, the best range of the P values is between 2 and 4. To strike a balance of efficiency and accuracy, we choose to use P = 3 for all subsequent calculations.

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the MLS grid point size for a clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate with P = 3, k = 15, d = 0.5a and $N_g = 40$

| MLS grid points | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 7 × 7 | 27.738 | 48.150 | 65.409 | 82.227 | 125.007 | 179.536 | | | |
| 9 × 9 | 9.6517 | 16.6063 | 20.1005 | 26.0896 | 29.4062 | 35.2701 | | | |
| 11 × 11 | 9.5064 | 15.9888 | 19.7410 | 24.5306 | 28.1291 | 34.0533 | | | |
| 13 × 13 | 9.5031 | 15.9868 | 19.7348 | 24.5985 | 28.1289 | 34.0203 | | | |
| 15×15 | 9.5029 | 15.9870 | 19.7342 | 24.5998 | 28.1352 | 34.0217 | | | |
| 17×17 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6002 | 28.1341 | 34.0192 | | | |
| 19 × 19 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7337 | 24.6003 | 28.1339 | 34.0196 | | | |
| 21×21 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 23×23 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0199 | | | |

Table 16

Variation of frequency parameters λ versus the value of k for a clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate with P = 3, d = 0.5a, $N_g = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points

| Κ | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|----|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 1 | 7.8968 | 16.8308 | 23.2632 | 28.1467 | 28.8140 | 35.8918 | | | |
| 2 | 9.6278 | 16.8781 | 21.9549 | 30.5050 | 36.6110 | 44.5781 | | | |
| 3 | 9.4954 | 16.0652 | 19.9348 | 25.5296 | 29.9872 | 36.5614 | | | |
| 4 | 9.4899 | 15.9828 | 19.7824 | 24.7569 | 28.4890 | 34.5540 | | | |
| 5 | 9.5009 | 15.9864 | 19.7415 | 24.6328 | 28.1985 | 34.1588 | | | |
| 6 | 9.5012 | 15.9854 | 19.7324 | 24.5938 | 28.1445 | 34.0528 | | | |
| 7 | 9.5025 | 15.9863 | 19.7334 | 24.5972 | 28.1349 | 34.0225 | | | |
| 8 | 9.5025 | 15.9863 | 19.7334 | 24.5972 | 28.1349 | 34.0225 | | | |
| 9 | 9.5027 | 15.9869 | 19.7338 | 24.5993 | 28.1342 | 34.0208 | | | |
| 10 | 9.5028 | 15.9870 | 19.7337 | 24.6000 | 28.1341 | 34.0201 | | | |
| 11 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6001 | 28.1341 | 34.0199 | | | |
| 12 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6003 | 28.1339 | 34.0200 | | | |
| 13 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6003 | 28.1340 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 14 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 15 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 16 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0197 | | | |

The MLS grid point size varies from 7×7 to 23×23 while the other parameters of the MLS-Ritz method are kept constants, i.e. P = 3, k = 15, d = 0.5a and $N_g = 40$ for the two considered triangular plates (see Tables 14 and 15). The frequency parameters of the plates are well converged as the MLS grid point size reaches 15×15 and above. To ensure the accuracy of the results presented in this paper, we choose to use MLS grid point size 21×21 in all calculations for the right-angled isosceles triangular plates.

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the radius of support *d* for a simply supported right-angled isosceles triangular plate with P = 3, k = 15, $N_g = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points

| d/a | Mode sequence | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | |
| 0.1 | 0.00E + 00 | 1.69E + 04 | 9.63E+04 | 1.41E + 05 | 4.79E + 05 | 1.48E + 06 | | |
| 0.2 | 5.6841 | 10.8320 | 15.1712 | 19.1369 | 24.1942 | 28.1800 | | |
| 0.3 | 5.0002 | 10.0024 | 13.0017 | 17.0164 | 20.0164 | 25.0102 | | |
| 0.4 | 5.0000 | 10.0002 | 13.0001 | 17.0006 | 20.0010 | 25.0005 | | |
| 0.5 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0002 | 17.0002 | 20.0004 | 25.0009 | | |
| 0.6 | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0001 | 17.0004 | 20.0008 | 25.0014 | | |
| 0.7 | 5.0000 | 10.0001 | 13.0001 | 17.0008 | 20.0026 | 25.0053 | | |
| 0.8 | 5.0000 | 10.0002 | 13.0007 | 17.0043 | 20.0047 | 25.0084 | | |

Table 18

Convergence of frequency parameters λ against the radius of support *d* for a clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate with P = 3, k = 15, $N_q = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points

| d/a | Mode sequence | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | |
| 0.1 | 4.08E + 02 | 5.18E+02 | 6.23E+02 | 6.85E+02 | 7.42E + 02 | 8.51E+02 | | | |
| 0.2 | 9.4759 | 15.9653 | 19.6772 | 24.5378 | 28.0775 | 33.9297 | | | |
| 0.3 | 9.5028 | 15.9869 | 19.7340 | 24.6004 | 28.1337 | 34.0206 | | | |
| 0.4 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6003 | 28.1339 | 34.0199 | | | |
| 0.5 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 0.6 | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | | | |
| 0.7 | 9.5028 | 12.1274 | 15.9871 | 19.4608 | 19.7337 | 24.6004 | | | |
| 0.8 | 9.5028 | 15.9870 | 17.3138 | 19.7334 | 24.6010 | 28.1358 | | | |

Table 16 shows the variation of the frequency parameters of a clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate versus the value of k in the MLS weight function. We observe that a wide range of k values can be used in the MLS-Ritz method to generate accurate vibration frequencies. In general, a larger value of k leads to better converged results. We choose to use k = 15 in this study for the vibration analysis of right-angled isosceles triangular plates.

The influence of the radius of support d of the MLS scheme on the frequency parameters of triangular plates is examined. Tables 17 and 18 present the variation of the frequency parameters of a simply supported and a clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate against the radius of support d, respectively. We use P = 3, k = 15, $N_g = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points in this calculation. Similar to its square plate counterpart, a small radius of support d gives erroneous frequency parameters and a very large d value may lead to erroneous/missing modes as shown in the case for clamped triangular plate (see Table 18). Again, the optimal range of the radius of support d is observed to be between 0.4a and 0.6a in the considered triangular plate cases. We choose d = 0.5a in the calculations.

Comparison study of frequency parameters λ for right-angled isosceles triangular plates with P = 3, k = 15, d = 0.5a, $N_g = 40$ and 21×21 MLS grid points

| Cases | Sources | Mode sequence | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| SSS | Present | 5.0000 | 10.0000 | 13.0002 | 17.0002 | 20.0004 | 25.0009 | |
| | Ref. [32] | 4.993 | | | | | | |
| | Ref. [13] | 5.000 | 10.00 | 13.00 | 17.00 | | | |
| | Ref. [33] | 5.000 | 10.01 | 13.01 | 17.13 | 20.29 | 25.31 | |
| | Ref. [34] | 4.999 | 9.970 | 13.03 | 17.43 | | | |
| | Ref. [30] | 5.001 | 9.998 | 13.00 | 17.00 | 20.09 | 25.12 | |
| CCC | Present | 9.5028 | 15.9871 | 19.7338 | 24.6004 | 28.1339 | 34.0198 | |
| | Ref. [31] | 9.48 | | | | | | |
| | Ref. [13] | 9.510 | 15.98 | 19.74 | 24.60 | | | |
| | Ref. [33] | 9.5027 | 15.987 | 19.734 | 24.600 | 28.134 | 34.020 | |
| | Ref. [30] | 9.502 | 15.99 | 19.74 | 24.62 | 28.17 | 34.19 | |
| CCF | Present | 2.9477 | 6.4405 | 9.1042 | 11.7652 | 14.7511 | 19.1190 | |
| | Ref. [15] | 2.927 | 6.379 | 9.085 | 11.66 | | | |
| | Ref. [30] | 2.948 | 6.439 | 9.104 | 11.77 | 14.76 | 19.13 | |
| FFC | Present | 1.2812 | 3.6408 | 5.3627 | 8.5353 | 9.7153 | 13.3274 | |
| | Ref. [33] | 1.282 | 3.641 | 5.363 | 8.552 | 9.750 | 13.36 | |
| | Ref. [30] | 1.281 | 3.642 | 5.364 | 8.537 | 9.715 | 13.33 | |
| CSF | Present | 1.8205 | 4.8582 | 7.4596 | 9.8285 | 12.4898 | 16.7246 | |
| | Ref. [33] | 1.821 | 4.858 | 7.460 | 9.837 | 12.51 | 16.83 | |
| CCS | Present | 7.4364 | 13.3319 | 16.7180 | 21.3304 | 24.5354 | 30.0250 | |
| | Ref. [14] | 7.436 | 13.34 | 16.72 | 21.33 | | | |
| | Ref. [34] | 7.436 | 13.47 | 17.00 | 22.22 | | | |
| | Ref. [30] | 7.436 | 13.33 | 16.72 | 21.33 | 24.56 | 30.08 | |

To confirm the correctness of the MLS-Ritz method for the vibration analysis of right-angled isosceles triangular plates, a comparison study is carried out against solutions obtained by other researchers [13–15,30–34] and the results for several selected triangular plates are presented in Table 19. Note that the study by Kitipornchai et al. [30] is based on the Mindlin shear deformable plate theory with small plate thickness to length ratio to simulate thin plates.

For a simply supported right-angled isosceles triangular plate (SSS plate), it is possible to obtain exact vibration solutions by using a simply supported square plate with appropriate modes of vibration [2]. For example, the exact frequency parameter of the first mode of the SSS triangular plate is 5 which is the same as the one for the second mode of the simply supported square plate. We observe that comparing with other numerical and analytical methods (see Table 19), the MLS-Ritz method generates very accurate frequency parameters for SSS right-angled isosceles triangular plate.

For a fully clamped right-angled isosceles triangular plate, the MLS-Ritz results are again in very close agreement with the ones by Gorman [13], Kim and Dickinson [33] and Kitipornchai et al. [30] As there are no known exact solutions available for this case, the convergence study given



Fig. 6. Mode shapes for the first six modes of a simply supported right-angled isosceles triangular plate.



Fig. 7. Mode shapes for the first six modes of an FFC right-angled isosceles triangular plate.

in Table 15 together with the available solutions in the open literature is very useful to verify the accuracy of the current results.

Four other cases (CCF, FFC, CSF and CCS plates) are also compared with known solutions in the open literature (see Table 19). They all confirm the high accuracy of the MLS-Ritz method in the vibration analysis of right-angled isosceles triangular plates.

The first six vibration mode contour shapes of a simply supported right-angled isosceles triangular plate and an FFC right-angled isosceles triangular plate are presented in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. We observe that the MLS-Ritz method can predict the correct vibration mode shapes for the considered cases. Note that the dotted contour lines in Figs. 6 and 7 represent the nodal lines of the vibration modes.

4. Conclusions

This paper has proposed a novel numerical method, the MLS-Ritz method, for the vibration analysis of thin plates. The MLS technique has been employed to establish the Ritz trial function for the transverse displacement of a plate. A point substitution technique has been proposed to enforce the geometric boundary conditions of the plate. Convergence studies have been carried out to determine the size of the MLS grid points, the number of Gaussian points, the degree of 2-D polynomial basis function and the MLS radius of support that are required to produce accurate MLS-Ritz vibration results. Comparison studies have proven that the proposed method is highly accurate for predicting the vibration frequencies of plates. Further studies on the versatility and applicability of the method are being carried out and the research findings will be reported in future publications.

References

- [1] E.F.F. Chladni, Entdeckungen uber die Theorie des Klanges, Leipzig (1787).
- [2] A.W. Leissa, Vibration of Plates, US Government Printing Office, NASA SP-160 (1969), reprinted by the Acoustical Society of America (1993).
- [3] A.W. Leissa, The free vibration of rectangular plates, Journal of Sound and Vibration 31 (1973) 257–293.
- [4] R.B. Bhat, Flexural vibration of polygonal plates using characteristics orthogonal polynomials in two variables, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 114 (1987) 65–71.
- [5] K.M. Liew, C.M. Wang, Y. Xiang, S. Kitipornchai, Vibration of Mindlin Plates—Programming the p-Version Ritz Method, Elsevier Science, Oxford, 1998.
- [6] Y. Xiang, C.M. Wang, Exact buckling and vibration solutions for stepped rectangular plates, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 250 (2002) 503–517.
- [7] A.W. Leissa, Recent research in plate vibrations: classical theory, Shock and Vibration Digest 9 (10) (1977) 13-24.
- [8] A.W. Leissa, Recent research in plate vibrations: complicating effects, *Shock and Vibration Digest* 9 (11) (1977) 21–35.
- [9] A.W. Leissa, Plate vibration research: 1976–1980: classical theory, Shock and Vibration Digest 13 (9) (1981) 11–22.
- [10] A.W. Leissa, Plate vibration research: 1976–1980: complicating effects, Shock and Vibration Digest 13 (10) (1981) 19–36.
- [11] A.W. Leissa, Recent research in plate vibrations: 1981–1985: part I. Classical theory, *Shock and Vibration Digest* 19 (2) (1987) 11–18.

- [12] A.W. Leissa, Recent research in plate vibrations: 1981–1985: part I. Complicating effects, Shock and Vibration Digest 19 (3) (1987) 10–24.
- [13] D.J. Gorman, A highly accurate analytical solution for free vibration analysis of simply supported right triangular plates, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 89 (1983) 107–118.
- [14] D.J. Gorman, Free vibration analysis of right triangular plates with combinations of clamped-simply supported boundary conditions, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 106 (1986) 419–431.
- [15] D.J. Gorman, Accurate analytical solution for free vibration of the simply supported triangular plate, AIAA Journal 27 (1989) 647–651.
- [16] A.A. Khdeir, Free vibration and buckling of symmetric cross-ply laminated plates by an exact method, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 126 (1988) 447–461.
- [17] W.C. Chen, W.H. Liu, Deflections and free vibrations of laminated plates-Levy-type solutions, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 32 (1990) 779–793.
- [18] U.S. Gupta, S.K. Jain, D. Jain, Method of collocation by derivatives in the study of axisymmetric vibration of circular plates, *Computers and Structures* 57 (1995) 841–845.
- [19] M. Mukhopadhyay, Vibration and stability analysis of stiffened plates by semi-analytic finite difference method, part I: consideration of bending displacements only, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 130 (1989) 27–39.
- [20] G. Karami, P. Malekzadeh, Application of a new differential quadrature methodology for free vibration analysis of plates, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 56 (2003) 847–868.
- [21] T. Belytschko, Y. Krongauz, D. Organ, M. Fleming, P. Krysl, Meshless methods: an overview and recent developments, *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 139 (1996) 3–47.
- [22] Y.K. Cheung, Finite Strip Method in Structural Mechanics, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1976.
- [23] P.R. Heyliger, J.N. Reddy, A higher-order beam finite-element for bending and vibration problems, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 126 (1988) 309–326.
- [24] R. Bellman, B.G. Kashef, J. Casti, Differential quadrature: a technique for the rapid solution of nonlinear partial differential equations, *Journal of Computational Physics* 10 (1972) 40–52.
- [25] C. Shu, C.M. Wang, Treatment of mixed and nonuniform boundary conditions in GDQ vibration analysis of rectangular plates, *Engineering Structures* 21 (1999) 125–134.
- [26] G.W. Wei, Y.B. Zhao, Y. Xiang, Discrete singular convolution and its application to the analysis of plates with internal supports. Part 1: theory and algorithm,, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering* 55 (2002) 913–946.
- [27] Y.B. Zhao, G.W. Wei, Y. Xiang, Discrete singular convolution for the prediction of high frequency vibration of plates, *International Journal of Solids and Structures* 39 (2002) 65–88.
- [28] K.M. Liew, Y.Q. Huang, Bending and buckling of thick symmetric rectangular laminates using the moving leastsquares differential quadrature method, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 45 (2003) 95–114.
- [29] L. Zhou, J.G. Zhu, Application of MLSDQ method for analysis of electromagnetic fields, *The Proceedings of the 2003 Australasian Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC 2003)*, Paper No. 161, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2003.
- [30] S. Kitipornchai, K.M. Liew, Y. Xiang, C.M. Wang, Free vibration of isosceles triangular Mindlin plates, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 35 (1993) 89–102.
- [31] T. Ota, M. Hamada, T. Tarumoto, 1961, Fundamental frequency of an isosceles triangular plate, Bulletin of Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers (JSME) 4 (1961) 478–481.
- [32] H.D. Conway, K.A. Farnham, The free flexural vibrations of triangular rhombic and parallelogram plates and some analogics, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 7 (1965) 811–816.
- [33] C.S. Kim, S.M. Dickinson, The free flexural vibration of right triangular isotropic and orthotropic plates, *Journal* of Sound and Vibration 141 (1990) 291–311.
- [34] K.Y. Lam, K.M. Liew, S.T. Chow, Free vibration analysis of isotropic and orthotropic triangular plates, *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 32 (1990) 455–464.